



Council's Long-Term Plan Submission Form

Have your
say by
4pm, 9 May

You can give your feedback on our Long-Term Plan in a variety of ways. If you'd like to speak to our Elected Members about your submission we do need you to fill out the contact details and hearings section of this page. With this submission form you can answer as many questions as you want. You don't need to answer them all if you don't want to! There are no age restrictions to making a submission – we are happy to see submissions from all ages.

The Local Government Act allows you to give feedback in any format. This can include:

- filling out this form or doing it on our website at pncc.govt.nz/LTP
- sharing feedback on our social media channels
- emailing us at submission@pncc.govt.nz
- or drop in to our customer service centre or libraries
- You can give feedback in any format that suits you. That could be a letter, petitions, picture, drawing, song or video (up to 3 minutes) etc.
- Come chat to Elected Members at **Planning Palmy Expo** 20 April, 10am-1pm at the Palmy Conference and Function Centre.

All submissions may be made publicly available on our website, customer service centre and some of our libraries. This means you may want to be more careful about what private information you share in your submissions about your circumstances. Your contact details (but not your name) are confidential and will not be published. Elected Members receive all submissions without contact details so they can consider the views and comments expressed.

We collect your contact information so we can keep you up to date.

For more information, see our privacy statement on our website.

Your details

First name: Ada + Bryah

Last name: 7(2)(a) Privacy

Organisation you represent:

(If applicable. Please only answer this question if you're speaking on behalf of an organisation)

Postal address: (only provide if no email address)

Email: 7(2)(a) Privacy

Phone:

Please tick if you are under 18 years old as we will apply further privacy measures.

Everyone who makes a submission can speak to our Elected Members about it.

All submissions will be acknowledged by email, or letter and given to Elected Members, who will consider the views and comments expressed when finalising the Long-Term Plan.

Do you want to speak to Council in support of your submission?

Yes

No

If you've selected yes, please let us know if you would like a language interpreter

New Zealand Sign Language interpreter

Te Reo Māori interpreter

No interpreter required

Preferred hearing dates. Please select up to 3 preferences.

	9am to 12.30pm	1.30pm to 5pm	5.30pm to 7.30pm
Wednesday 15 May	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Thursday 16 May	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Friday 17 May	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

How would you prefer to give your feedback?

In person

Via an online video link

Please select here if you are flexible on days on times

We will be in touch with you to confirm the time for you to speak to Council. You will have ten minutes allocated to speak in support of your submission or to answer any questions from the Mayor and other Elected Members. If we receive a large number of submissions we may need additional days for hearings in May. If this occurs, we will be in touch with you.

Your submission

This submission form is broken into sections. First we will ask you to give feedback on some of the topics we have options for. Then towards the end we will ask for general comments on key areas of Council, as well as a general feedback section. Please answer all questions you'd like to provide feedback on.

This submission form is also available on our website at pncc.govt.nz/ltf. On our website you will be able to save your submission as you go, and come back to it before choosing to submit.

If at any time you need more space, please write on another piece of paper and clearly state your name, address, phone number and the question your feedback relates to.

Rates Review Questions

View your proposed rates at pncc.govt.nz/ratesreview

If you are submitting on behalf of a business or organisation, please ensure you have stated this in the 'Organisation' category at the top of your submission form and you have permission to submit on the business or organisations behalf. You can submit as both an organisation and individual.

Which of these describes you? (Select as many as apply)

Collecting this information helps us determine whether different groups of people share similar views.

- Resident, but not a ratepayer (e.g. you rent or may live with family/friends)
- Ratepayer who lives in their home in the Palmerston North urban area
- Ratepayer who lives in their Palmerston North home, which is classified as 'Rural' or known as a lifestyle block
- Business owner who pays rates in Palmerston North (Commercial/Industrial classification')
- Business owner who rents their business location in Palmerston North
- A developer of residential properties
- A developer of commercial properties
- Landlord of a home in Palmerston North who lives here
- Landlord of a home/s but do not live in Palmerston North
- Landlord of a business/commercial property in Palmerston North who lives here
- Landlord of a business/commercial property who does not live in our city
- Other (please state)
- Prefer not to say

Community facilities questions

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation which regularly uses, or proposes to use, one of these facilities?

Yes

No

If you are submitting on behalf of an organisation, please ensure that you have written the organisation's name and contact details on the top of this submission form, and you have their permission to make a submission on their behalf.

We'd like to ask you about these projects separately in case you have different thoughts on different projects.

We're asking you to select whether you support each project as proposed, whether you support it with some suggested changes or comments, or you do not support it. We'll ask you to explain why you've picked the option you have. Suggested changes or comments could include things like the scope of the project, the timeline proposed, the location, the cost, how we're planning on funding it – or any other feedback.

Multicultural Centre Lease space for multicultural communities to use for activities, events and services.

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Pasifika Centre Expand and refurbish existing building

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Te Pātikitiki Library Expand and refurbish existing building

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Awapuni Community Library Hub Build a new Awapuni Community Library Hub, which includes expanded community space within a new library

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Te Motu o Poutoa Anzac Park Creation of a civic marae with public facilities and visitor and education attractions at Te Motu o Poutoa Anzac Park (co-funded with Rangitāne and external funding)

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Central Energy Trust Arena Replace Arena 5 at the Central Energy Trust Arena, as well as build new turfs, toilets and changing blocks.

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Do you have any general feedback about community facilities for us to consider?

Lined writing area for providing feedback.

City centre transformation - landmark facilities and seismic upgrades

Which option do you prefer? (select one)

Option one (Preferred Option)

Build on our existing planning and continue work to look at the buildings as a collective project and explore co-funding opportunities.

Option two

Only do the required seismic upgrades of these facilities in their current location

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you prefer that option

Please tell us what you don't like about the other option

Do you have any other comments?

Development Contributions

We're also proposing some changes to our Development Contributions Policy.

If you're subdividing land or building a new home or business, it's likely you'll need to pay development contributions. These are paid on any development that generates extra demand on infrastructure in our city. Our policy is currently being reviewed and we'd like to hear your thoughts on these proposed changes.

We're proposing to increase the contributions for residential development and decrease the non-residential fee to more equitably distribute the cost of growth.

Do you agree with this change?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

We're proposing to stop collecting development contributions for growth costs associated with the Nature Calls wastewater project, due to Council's proposal to seek external funding for the project.

Do you agree with this change?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

We're proposing to add the cost of interest from borrowing that funds infrastructure growth into the calculation of development contribution fees. Most other councils around New Zealand already do this.

Do you agree with this change?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

Do you agree that we shouldn't charge a fee for non-residential development that has no connection to the water or wastewater network?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

General comment areas

Information about these topics is available in our consultation document

Please share any feedback you have about our **vision, goals and plans**

Please share any feedback regarding proposed **transport projects**

Please share any feedback about our proposed plans for **Water and how we will fund Nature Calls**

Please share any feedback regarding **proposed rates over the next ten years**

Please share any additional feedback you'd like us to consider

Aokautere / Summerhill should have more shops for teen job opportunities because most of the part-time jobs are located in central Palmerston. The shops already located up on Summerhill aren't hiring teenagers and those that do, don't have enough spaces available, therefore, travelling costs are higher.

Ada Conley, student of Palmerston North Girls High School, has a viewpoint about there not being enough job opportunities for youth on Summerhill / Aokautere. I think that the travelling costs for going into Central Palmerston are unnecessary when instead, more shops can be put in place.

The news article written by Janine Rankin on the 7th May 2024 mentions how Cr Pat Hancock proposed a \$100,000 budget for youth wellbeing. His proposal links to the long-term plan.

This is beneficial to my suggestion because it will help support the youth who do not have secure jobs.



Council's Long-Term Plan Submission Form

Have your say by
4pm, 9 May

You can give your feedback on our Long-Term Plan in a variety of ways. If you'd like to speak to our Elected Members about your submission we do need you to fill out the contact details and hearings section of this page. With this submission form you can answer as many questions as you want. You don't need to answer them all if you don't want to! There are no age restrictions to making a submission – we are happy to see submissions from all ages.

The Local Government Act allows you to give feedback in any format. This can include:

- filling out this form or doing it on our website at pncc.govt.nz/LTP
- sharing feedback on our social media channels
- emailing us at submission@pncc.govt.nz
- or drop in to our customer service centre or libraries
- You can give feedback in any format that suits you. That could be a letter, petitions, picture, drawing, song or video(up to 3 minutes) etc.
- Come chat to Elected Members at **Planning Palmy Expo** 20 April, 10am-1pm at the Palmy Conference and Function Centre.

All submissions may be made publicly available on our website, customer service centre and some of our libraries. This means you may want to be more careful about what private information you share in your submissions about your circumstances. Your contact details (but not your name) are confidential and will not be published. Elected Members receive all submissions without contact details so they can consider the views and comments expressed.

We collect your contact information so we can keep you up to date.

For more information, see our privacy statement on our website.

Your details

First name: *Priyanka*

Last name: **7(2)(a) Privacy**

Organisation you represent:
(If applicable. Please only answer this question if you're speaking on behalf of an organisation)

Postal address: (only provide if no email address)

Email: **7(2)(a) Privacy**

Phone: **7(2)(a) Privacy**

Please tick if you are under 18 years old as we will apply further privacy measures.

Everyone who makes a submission can speak to our Elected Members about it.

All submissions will be acknowledged by email, or letter and given to Elected Members, who will consider the views and comments expressed when finalising the Long-Term Plan.

Do you want to speak to Council in support of your submission?

Yes

No

If you've selected yes, please let us know if you would like a language interpreter

New Zealand Sign Language interpreter

Te Reo Māori interpreter

No interpreter required

Preferred hearing dates. Please select up to 3 preferences.

	9am to 12.30pm	1.30pm to 5pm	5.30pm to 7.30pm
Wednesday 15 May	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Thursday 16 May	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Friday 17 May	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

How would you prefer to give your feedback?

In person

Via an online video link

Please select here if you are flexible on days on times

We will be in touch with you to confirm the time for you to speak to Council. You will have ten minutes allocated to speak in support of your submission or to answer any questions from the Mayor and other Elected Members. If we receive a large number of submissions we may need additional days for hearings in May. If this occurs, we will be in touch with you.

Your submission

This submission form is broken into sections. First we will ask you to give feedback on some of the topics we have options for. Then towards the end we will ask for general comments on key areas of Council, as well as a general feedback section. Please answer all questions you'd like to provide feedback on.

This submission form is also available on our website at pncc.govt.nz/ltp. On our website you will be able to save your submission as you go, and come back to it before choosing to submit.

If at any time you need more space, please write on another piece of paper and clearly state your name, address, phone number and the question your feedback relates to.

Rates Review Questions

View your proposed rates at pncc.govt.nz/ratesreview

If you are submitting on behalf of a business or organisation, please ensure you have stated this in the 'Organisation' category at the top of your submission form and you have permission to submit on the business or organisations behalf. You can submit as both an organisation and individual.

Which of these describes you? (Select as many as apply)

Collecting this information helps us determine whether different groups of people share similar views.

- Resident, but not a ratepayer (e.g. you rent or may live with family/friends)
- Ratepayer who lives in their home in the Palmerston North urban area
- Ratepayer who lives in their Palmerston North home, which is classified as 'Rural' or known as a lifestyle block
- Business owner who pays rates in Palmerston North (Commercial/Industrial classification')
- Business owner who rents their business location in Palmerston North
- A developer of residential properties
- A developer of commercial properties
- Landlord of a home in Palmerston North who lives here
- Landlord of a home/s but do not live in Palmerston North
- Landlord of a business/commercial property in Palmerston North who lives here
- Landlord of a business/commercial property who does not live in our city
- Other (please state)
- Prefer not to say

Do you have any other comments you'd like to make regarding the rates review?

A large rectangular area with light blue horizontal lines, intended for writing comments. The lines are evenly spaced and cover the majority of the page's width and height, providing a guide for text entry.

Community facilities questions

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation which regularly uses, or proposes to use, one of these facilities?

Yes

No

If you are submitting on behalf of an organisation, please ensure that you have written the organisation's name and contact details on the top of this submission form, and you have their permission to make a submission on their behalf.

We'd like to ask you about these projects separately in case you have different thoughts on different projects.

We're asking you to select whether you support each project as proposed, whether you support it with some suggested changes or comments, or you do not support it. We'll ask you to explain why you've picked the option you have. Suggested changes or comments could include things like the scope of the project, the timeline proposed, the location, the cost, how we're planning on funding it – or any other feedback.

Multicultural Centre Lease space for multicultural communities to use for activities, events and services.

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Pasifika Centre Expand and refurbish existing building

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Te Pātikitiki Library Expand and refurbish existing building

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Awapuni Community Library Hub Build a new Awapuni Community Library Hub, which includes expanded community space within a new library

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Te Motu o Poutoa Anzac Park Creation of a civic marae with public facilities and visitor and education attractions at Te Motu o Poutoa Anzac Park (co-funded with Rangitāne and external funding)

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Central Energy Trust Arena Replace Arena 5 at the Central Energy Trust Arena, as well as build new turfs, toilets and changing blocks.

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Do you have any general feedback about community facilities for us to consider?

We believe that our city needs stricter rules regarding where people are allowed to use vapes. We are aware that the government controls the policies around vape store locations and it's not actually something the city council can control, but the council is allowed to create by-laws that can help reduce where people are allowed to vape.

We know there is a Bylaw in place that states people aren't allowed to openly drink in the city centre and those who are fined, so why can't we do the same for vapes.

Another problem we are aware of is the loophole with dairies selling vapes. We know vape shops aren't allowed 200 metres near any schools, but they are allowed if they are sold as a product of a dairy. Near West End Primary School, and Awatapu College there is a dairy that sells vapes. This shouldn't be allowed as it normalises vaping to minors and exposes them to addicting and dangerous environments.

Sage Paw, a high school student agrees with our feedback. She also thinks that there needs to be stricter Bylaws in place for vaping around our city. She says, "It's not safe if dairies are allowed to sell vapes near schools. The dairies would probably sell vapes to children because they want money".

If there is any way to avoid vapes being purchased or used in certain areas of our city, e.g. around schools and in the city centre, the council should be in charge of ensuring that Bylaws are in place to keep our city thriving.

An article written on the 7th of May, 2024, contained information about young people executing anti-social behaviour in the city centre. Mayor Grant Smith believes that more money and action should be taken to support these young people and convert them to better behaviours. We believe a good action to take is ban people from vaping in the populated places of the city e.g. The City Centre and around schools.

City centre transformation – landmark facilities and seismic upgrades

Which option do you prefer? (select one)

Option one (Preferred Option)

Build on our existing planning and continue work to look at the buildings as a collective project and explore co-funding opportunities.

Option two

Only do the required seismic upgrades of these facilities in their current location

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you prefer that option

Please tell us what you don't like about the other option

Do you have any other comments?

Development Contributions

We're also proposing some changes to our Development Contributions Policy.

If you're subdividing land or building a new home or business, it's likely you'll need to pay development contributions. These are paid on any development that generates extra demand on infrastructure in our city. Our policy is currently being reviewed and we'd like to hear your thoughts on these proposed changes.

We're proposing to increase the contributions for residential development and decrease the non-residential fee to more equitably distribute the cost of growth.

Do you agree with this change?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

We're proposing to stop collecting development contributions for growth costs associated with the Nature Calls wastewater project, due to Council's proposal to seek external funding for the project.

Do you agree with this change?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

We're proposing to add the cost of interest from borrowing that funds infrastructure growth into the calculation of development contribution fees. Most other councils around New Zealand already do this.

Do you agree with this change?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

Do you agree that we shouldn't charge a fee for non-residential development that has no connection to the water or wastewater network?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

Please tell us why you've selected these answers, and any other feedback you have about the proposed changes to our Development Contributions Policy.

Lined area for providing feedback.

General comment areas

Information about these topics is available in our consultation document

Please share any feedback you have about our **vision, goals and plans**

Please share any feedback regarding proposed **transport projects**

Please share any feedback about our proposed plans for **Water and how we will fund Nature Calls**



Council's Long-Term Plan Submission Form

Have your say by
4pm, 9 May

You can give your feedback on our Long-Term Plan in a variety of ways. If you'd like to speak to our Elected Members about your submission we do need you to fill out the contact details and hearings section of this page. With this submission form you can answer as many questions as you want. You don't need to answer them all if you don't want to! There are no age restrictions to making a submission – we are happy to see submissions from all ages.

The Local Government Act allows you to give feedback in any format. This can include:

- filling out this form or doing it on our website at pncc.govt.nz/LTP
- sharing feedback on our social media channels
- emailing us at submission@pncc.govt.nz
- or drop in to our customer service centre or libraries
- You can give feedback in any format that suits you. That could be a letter, petitions, picture, drawing, song or video (up to 3 minutes) etc.
- Come chat to Elected Members at **Planning Palmy Expo** 20 April, 10am-1pm at the Palmy Conference and Function Centre.

All submissions may be made publicly available on our website, customer service centre and some of our libraries. This means you may want to be more careful about what private information you share in your submissions about your circumstances. Your contact details (but not your name) are confidential and will not be published. Elected Members receive all submissions without contact details so they can consider the views and comments expressed.

We collect your contact information so we can keep you up to date.

For more information, see our privacy statement on our website.

Your details

First name: **Brooke** 7(2)(a) Privacy Last name: **Charlotte** 7(2)(a) Privacy

Organisation you represent:
(if applicable. Please only answer this question if you're speaking on behalf of an organisation)

Postal address: (only provide if no email address)

Email: 7(2)(a) Privacy

Phone: 7(2)(a) Privacy

Please tick if you are under 18 years old as we will apply further privacy measures.

7(2)(a) Privacy

Everyone who makes a submission can speak to our Elected Members about it.

All submissions will be acknowledged by email, or letter and given to Elected Members, who will consider the views and comments expressed when finalising the Long-Term Plan.

Do you want to speak to Council in support of your submission?

Yes

No

If you've selected yes, please let us know if you would like a language interpreter

New Zealand Sign Language interpreter

Te Reo Māori interpreter

No interpreter required

Preferred hearing dates. Please select up to 3 preferences.

	9am to 12.30pm	1.30pm to 5pm	5.30pm to 7.30pm
Wednesday 15 May	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Thursday 16 May	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Friday 17 May	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please select here if you are flexible on days on times

How would you prefer to give your feedback?

In person

Via an online video link

We will be in touch with you to confirm the time for you to speak to Council. You will have ten minutes allocated to speak in support of your submission or to answer any questions from the Mayor and other Elected Members. If we receive a large number of submissions we may need additional days for hearings in May. If this occurs, we will be in touch with you.

Your submission

This submission form is broken into sections. First we will ask you to give feedback on some of the topics we have options for. Then towards the end we will ask for general comments on key areas of Council, as well as a general feedback section. Please answer all questions you'd like to provide feedback on.

This submission form is also available on our website at pncc.govt.nz/ltp. On our website you will be able to save your submission as you go, and come back to it before choosing to submit.

If at any time you need more space, please write on another piece of paper and clearly state your name, address, phone number and the question your feedback relates to.

Rates Review Questions

View your proposed rates at pncc.govt.nz/ratesreview

If you are submitting on behalf of a business or organisation, please ensure you have stated this in the 'Organisation' category at the top of your submission form and you have permission to submit on the business or organisations behalf. You can submit as both an organisation and individual.

Which of these describes you? (Select as many as apply)

Collecting this information helps us determine whether different groups of people share similar views.

- Resident, but not a ratepayer (e.g. you rent or may live with family/friends)
- Ratepayer who lives in their home in the Palmerston North urban area
- Ratepayer who lives in their Palmerston North home, which is classified as 'Rural' or known as a lifestyle block
- Business owner who pays rates in Palmerston North (Commercial/Industrial classification)
- Business owner who rents their business location in Palmerston North
- A developer of residential properties
- A developer of commercial properties
- Landlord of a home in Palmerston North who lives here
- Landlord of a home/s but do not live in Palmerston North
- Landlord of a business/commercial property in Palmerston North who lives here
- Landlord of a business/commercial property who does not live in our city
- Other (please state)
- Prefer not to say

Do you have any other comments you'd like to make regarding the rates review?

Lined area for providing comments.

Community facilities questions

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation which regularly uses, or proposes to use, one of these facilities?

Yes

No

If you are submitting on behalf of an organisation, please ensure that you have written the organisation's name and contact details on the top of this submission form, and you have their permission to make a submission on their behalf.

We'd like to ask you about these projects separately in case you have different thoughts on different projects.

We're asking you to select whether you support each project as proposed, whether you support it with some suggested changes or comments, or you do not support it. We'll ask you to explain why you've picked the option you have. Suggested changes or comments could include things like the scope of the project, the timeline proposed, the location, the cost, how we're planning on funding it – or any other feedback.

Multicultural Centre Lease space for multicultural communities to use for activities, events and services.

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Pasifika Centre Expand and refurbish existing building

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Te Pātikitiki Library Expand and refurbish existing building

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Awapuni Community Library Hub Build a new Awapuni Community Library Hub, which includes expanded community space within a new library

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Te Motu o Poutoa Anzac Park Creation of a civic marae with public facilities and visitor and education attractions at Te Motu o Poutoa Anzac Park (co-funded with Rangitāne and external funding)

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Central Energy Trust Arena Replace Arena 5 at the Central Energy Trust Arena, as well as build new turfs, toilets and changing blocks.

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Do you have any general feedback about community facilities for us to consider?

A large area of lined paper for writing feedback.

21

City centre transformation - landmark facilities and seismic upgrades

Which option do you prefer? (select one)

Option one (Preferred Option)

Build on our existing planning and continue work to look at the buildings as a collective project and explore co-funding opportunities.

Option two

Only do the required seismic upgrades of these facilities in their current location

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you prefer that option

Please tell us what you don't like about the other option

Do you have any other comments?

Development Contributions

We're also proposing some changes to our Development Contributions Policy.

If you're subdividing land or building a new home or business, it's likely you'll need to pay development contributions. These are paid on any development that generates extra demand on infrastructure in our city. Our policy is currently being reviewed and we'd like to hear your thoughts on these proposed changes.

We're proposing to increase the contributions for residential development and decrease the non-residential fee to more equitably distribute the cost of growth.

Do you agree with this change?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

We're proposing to stop collecting development contributions for growth costs associated with the Nature Calls wastewater project, due to Council's proposal to seek external funding for the project.

Do you agree with this change?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

We're proposing to add the cost of interest from borrowing that funds infrastructure growth into the calculation of development contribution fees. Most other councils around New Zealand already do this.

Do you agree with this change?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

Do you agree that we shouldn't charge a fee for non-residential development that has no connection to the water or wastewater network?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

General comment areas

Information about these topics is available in our consultation document

Please share any feedback you have about our **vision, goals and plans**

Please share any feedback regarding proposed **transport projects**

Please share any feedback about our proposed plans for **Water and how we will fund Nature Calls**



Submission from Swamp City Roller Derby on PNCC's Long-Term Plan 2024-34

"Kua kaupapa i a au te aroha mā koutou e whakaoti"

I have laid the foundation of friendship as a legacy to guide future generations.

-- Nā Te Peeti Te Aweawe nō Rangitāne.

Ko Tararua ngā pae maunga,
Ko Manawatū te awa,
Ko Te Marae o Hine te tatau pounamu
Ko Ngāti Rangitāne-nui-a-Rangi te iwi

We acknowledge the ancestral lands of the mana whenua, Rangitāne me ōna hapū.
Such lands of life and beauty.

Ngā mihi maioha ki a koutou katoa.

Swamp City Roller Derby (SCRD) empowers the roller derby community through building an inclusive, competitive and mana-enhancing space.

We are an active user of Palmerston North's Central Energy Trust facilities. Early in our league's history (we were formed in 2010), our home was Arena 5's Bell Hall. These days, our main kainga is Arena 3 - we are fortunate to have two permanent track layouts. We train there twice weekly from February to November, and host roller derby manuhiri 3-4 times per year for competitive games and interleague training sessions.

We are fortunate to have the added facilities that Arena 5 offers. We still spend at least one evening a week in Bell Hall, where we host Reti-ā-nuku, our 'learn to skate' and 'learn to play' roller derby courses, throughout the year. Bell Hall is also our venue when Arena 3 is unavailable due to full paying commercial bookings. This happens regularly; between February and April 2024, we have lost access to Arena 3 seven times. Fortunately, for most of those venue losses, we have been able to access Arena 5.

In PNCC's Long-Term Plan 2024-34 we note that the Council are seeking feedback on proposals to invest in upgrades to Palmerston North's community facilities.

We are absolutely in support of the planned replacement of Arena 5. We agree that Arena 5 facilities are in need of upgrade. We were pleased to be involved in the 2022/23 discussions on the CET Master Plan and delighted to see the plan formally adopted in 2023.

We welcome the proposed staged replacement of the facilities beginning with building the replacement before demolishing the existing. It is likely that community focussed groups, who pay a lower fee than commercial visiting events, will have challenges maintaining access. Given the emphasis on enabling Palmerston North to continue welcoming events, and to increase hosting, an additional venue will remain critical to enabling us to function as a globally ranked, competitive sports league.

Specifically addressing some of the pros and cons presented in the LTP options:

Pros as presented in the LTP and Swamp City Roller Derby's response and observations

- Community needs are met, and our goal of having a vibrant and welcoming city with a connected community is realised.

Swamp City Roller Derby's Whakatakanga/Mission is all about vibrancy and connection:

An inclusive and competitive league guided by te ao Māori at the heart of our community that allows people to develop in a supportive environment through roller derby.

- Additional spaces are provided for communities to meet, host events, play sport and be together – improving social, cultural and physical wellbeing.

Some of Swamp City Roller Derby's core values are captured right here - Manaakitanga (Kindness/Hospitality), Whanaungatanga (Kinship/Relationship), empowerment of our community through playing sport together.

- Economic development for the city because of people using the new facilities or bringing events to the city.

Swamp City Roller Derby's strives to show manaakitanga to our manuhiri and welcoming them to a new space will enable to better serve our sport by bringing more roller derby to Palmerston North and build our connections across the rohe.

- Increased revenue opportunities due to the user-pays model for some of these facilities.

Swamp City Roller Derby are committed to continuing to share in the financial contribution towards accessing facilities. However, as a community based sports league, which relies on membership fees and grants to fund its access to facilities, user pays fees must remain accessible and appropriately priced. Financial accessibility to our sport is a key focus area for our league.

Cons as presented in the LTP and Swamp City Roller Derby's response and observations

- If the upgrades to Central Energy Trust Arena were not supported, Council would need to consider what this means for Arena 5 long term, with seismic upgrades being needed to continue operating. This could impact the city's local economy, which is boosted by events.

Swamp City Roller Derby wholeheartedly backs a decision to invest in these upgrades; we need to ensure this, or a similar facility, is available to us, and the wider skating sports community. To not upgrade, or to delay this upgrade, will have significant impacts on our, and other community sport's access to facilities.

In summary, we encourage PNCC to put the upgrades to Arena 5 at the top of the list for investment projects. The positive impacts for the community of having access to sports facilities, both for local communities, and for Palmerston North to host others, are far reaching and important for the health and wellbeing of our community.

A short note on Memorial Park

The skating rink located within Memorial Park and managed by Memorial Park Sports Trust is greatly appreciated by the roller derby community of Palmerston North. Access to this additional facility allows us to give back to the wider community by being a part of the Summer skating series, being active in the summer evenings, promote our sport to the community by hosting roller derby bouts, and with the recent addition of lighting, allows us to use this facility for a few more hours in the evening. An agreed maintenance plan (resurfacing, general maintenance) between the MPST and PNCC will be crucial to the continued success of the wonderful facilities that Memorial Park offers to the skating - both structured and recreational - community.

Tapatapahi ana!



Council's Long-Term Plan Submission Form

Have your
say by
4pm, 9 May

You can give your feedback on our Long-Term Plan in a variety of ways. If you'd like to speak to our Elected Members about your submission we do need you to fill out the contact details and hearings section of this page. With this submission form you can answer as many questions as you want. You don't need to answer them all if you don't want to! There are no age restrictions to making a submission – we are happy to see submissions from all ages.

The Local Government Act allows you to give feedback in any format. This can include:

- filling out this form or doing it on our website at pncc.govt.nz/LTP
- sharing feedback on our social media channels
- emailing us at submission@pncc.govt.nz
- or drop in to our customer service centre or libraries
- You can give feedback in any format that suits you. That could be a letter, petitions, picture, drawing, song or video (up to 3 minutes) etc.
- Come chat to Elected Members at **Planning Palmy Expo** 20 April, 10am-1pm at the Palmy Conference and Function Centre.

All submissions may be made publicly available on our website, customer service centre and some of our libraries. This means you may want to be more careful about what private information you share in your submissions about your circumstances. Your contact details (but not your name) are confidential and will not be published. Elected Members receive all submissions without contact details so they can consider the views and comments expressed.

We collect your contact information so we can keep you up to date.

For more information, see our privacy statement on our website.

Your details

First name: Emma & Keira

Last name: 7(2)(a) Privacy

Organisation you represent:

(if applicable. Please only answer this question if you're speaking on behalf of an organisation)

Postal address: (only provide if no email address)

Email: 7(2)(a) Privacy

Phone:

Please tick if you are under 18 years old as we will apply further privacy measures.

Everyone who makes a submission can speak to our Elected Members about it.

All submissions will be acknowledged by email, or letter and given to Elected Members, who will consider the views and comments expressed when finalising the Long-Term Plan.

Do you want to speak to Council in support of your submission?

Yes

No

If you've selected yes, please let us know if you would like a language interpreter

New Zealand Sign Language interpreter

Te Reo Māori interpreter

No interpreter required

Preferred hearing dates. Please select up to 3 preferences.

	9am to 12.30pm	1.30pm to 5pm	5.30pm to 7.30pm
Wednesday 15 May	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Thursday 16 May	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Friday 17 May	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please select here if you are flexible on days on times

How would you prefer to give your feedback?

In person

Via an online video link

We will be in touch with you to confirm the time for you to speak to Council. You will have ten minutes allocated to speak in support of your submission or to answer any questions from the Mayor and other Elected Members. If we receive a large number of submissions we may need additional days for hearings in May. If this occurs, we will be in touch with you.

Your submission

This submission form is broken into sections. First we will ask you to give feedback on some of the topics we have options for. Then towards the end we will ask for general comments on key areas of Council, as well as a general feedback section. Please answer all questions you'd like to provide feedback on.

This submission form is also available on our website at pncc.govt.nz/ltf. On our website you will be able to save your submission as you go, and come back to it before choosing to submit.

If at any time you need more space, please write on another piece of paper and clearly state your name, address, phone number and the question your feedback relates to.

Rates Review Questions

View your proposed rates at pncc.govt.nz/ratesreview

If you are submitting on behalf of a business or organisation, please ensure you have stated this in the 'Organisation' category at the top of your submission form and you have permission to submit on the business or organisations behalf. You can submit as both an organisation and individual.

Which of these describes you? (Select as many as apply)

Collecting this information helps us determine whether different groups of people share similar views.

- Resident, but not a ratepayer (e.g. you rent or may live with family/friends)
- Ratepayer who lives in their home in the Palmerston North urban area
- Ratepayer who lives in their Palmerston North home, which is classified as 'Rural' or known as a lifestyle block
- Business owner who pays rates in Palmerston North (Commercial/Industrial classification)
- Business owner who rents their business location in Palmerston North
- A developer of residential properties
- A developer of commercial properties
- Landlord of a home in Palmerston North who lives here
- Landlord of a home/s but do not live in Palmerston North
- Landlord of a business/commercial property in Palmerston North who lives here
- Landlord of a business/commercial property who does not live in our city
- Other (please state)
- Prefer not to say

Do you have any other comments you'd like to make regarding the rates review?

Lined area for writing comments.

Community facilities questions

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation which regularly uses, or proposes to use, one of these facilities?

Yes

No

If you are submitting on behalf of an organisation, please ensure that you have written the organisation's name and contact details on the top of this submission form, and you have their permission to make a submission on their behalf.

We'd like to ask you about these projects separately in case you have different thoughts on different projects.

We're asking you to select whether you support each project as proposed, whether you support it with some suggested changes or comments, or you do not support it. We'll ask you to explain why you've picked the option you have. Suggested changes or comments could include things like the scope of the project, the timeline proposed, the location, the cost, how we're planning on funding it – or any other feedback.

Multicultural Centre Lease space for multicultural communities to use for activities, events and services.

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Pasifika Centre Expand and refurbish existing building

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Te Pātikitiki Library Expand and refurbish existing building

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Awapuni Community Library Hub Build a new Awapuni Community Library Hub, which includes expanded community space within a new library

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Te Motu o Poutoa Anzac Park Creation of a civic marae with public facilities and visitor and education attractions at Te Motu o Poutoa Anzac Park (co-funded with Rangitāne and external funding)

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Central Energy Trust Arena Replace Arena 5 at the Central Energy Trust Arena, as well as build new turfs, toilets and changing blocks.

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Do you have any general feedback about community facilities for us to consider?

As youth that spend time in Palmerston North, we feel that there is not enough activity for kids between the age of 14-17 to partake in. When youth get bored they start to look for fun and this can lead to crime and irresponsible behaviour. We're starting to see this a lot surrounding the square and plaza. If there was more activities then the community would all come together. Activities like ice-skating and sports activities in the square would be beneficial for all youth. We understand that this may take some time to happen but hope you consider our idea as it would be beneficial for everyone (mainly youth). As in the stuff article on 'tackling the problems that turn young people into problems'; there has been said by Mayor Grant Smith that there are "issues with vandalism and anti-social behaviour in Te Marae o Hine/the square." Palmerston North's population is made up of 22.1% of young people and this is higher than the national average of 19.2%. This is why its a good idea for activities in the square to give the youth of Palmy fun options instead of turning to bad options. As Cr Pat Handcock said that we need to invest in young people so they do positive things in the community. Getting young people out of negative cycles and setting them on a path to being pro-social and productive adults. Activities for youth around the square will have a positive impact on young people.

City centre transformation - landmark facilities and seismic upgrades

Which option do you prefer? (select one)

Option one (Preferred Option)

Build on our existing planning and continue work to look at the buildings as a collective project and explore co-funding opportunities.

Option two

Only do the required seismic upgrades of these facilities in their current location

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you prefer that option

Please tell us what you don't like about the other option

Do you have any other comments?

Development Contributions

We're also proposing some changes to our Development Contributions Policy.

If you're subdividing land or building a new home or business, it's likely you'll need to pay development contributions. These are paid on any development that generates extra demand on infrastructure in our city. Our policy is currently being reviewed and we'd like to hear your thoughts on these proposed changes.

We're proposing to increase the contributions for residential development and decrease the non-residential fee to more equitably distribute the cost of growth.

Do you agree with this change?

Yes No Don't know / no opinion

We're proposing to stop collecting development contributions for growth costs associated with the Nature Calls wastewater project, due to Council's proposal to seek external funding for the project.

Do you agree with this change?

Yes No Don't know / no opinion

We're proposing to add the cost of interest from borrowing that funds infrastructure growth into the calculation of development contribution fees. Most other councils around New Zealand already do this.

Do you agree with this change?

Yes No Don't know / no opinion

Do you agree that we shouldn't charge a fee for non-residential development that has no connection to the water or wastewater network?

Yes No Don't know / no opinion

General comment areas

Information about these topics is available in our consultation document

Please share any feedback you have about our **vision, goals and plans**

Please share any feedback regarding proposed **transport projects**

Please share any feedback about our proposed plans for **Water and how we will fund Nature Calls**



Council's Long-Term Plan Submission Form

Have your say by **4pm, 9 May**

You can give your feedback on our Long-Term Plan in a variety of ways. If you'd like to speak to our Elected Members about your submission we do need you to fill out the contact details and hearings section of this page. With this submission form you can answer as many questions as you want. You don't need to answer them all if you don't want to! There are no age restrictions to making a submission – we are happy to see submissions from all ages.

The Local Government Act allows you to give feedback in any format. This can include:

- filling out this form or doing it on our website at pncc.govt.nz/LTP
- sharing feedback on our social media channels
- emailing us at submission@pncc.govt.nz
- or drop in to our customer service centre or libraries
- You can give feedback in any format that suits you. That could be a letter, petitions, picture, drawing, song or video(up to 3 minutes) etc.
- Come chat to Elected Members at **Planning Palmy Expo**
20 April, 10am-1pm at the Palmy Conference and Function Centre.

All submissions may be made publicly available on our website, customer service centre and some of our libraries. This means you may want to be more careful about what private information you share in your submissions about your circumstances. Your contact details (but not your name) are confidential and will not be published. Elected Members receive all submissions without contact details so they can consider the views and comments expressed.

We collect your contact information so we can keep you up to date.
For more information, see our privacy statement on our website.

Your details

First name: *Douglas*

Last name: *Russell*

Organisation you represent:

(If applicable. Please only answer this question if you're speaking on behalf of an organisation)

Postal address: (only provide if no email address)

Email:

Phone:

7(2)(a) Privacy

Please tick if you are under 18 years old as we will apply further privacy measures.

Everyone who makes a submission can speak to our Elected Members about it.

All submissions will be acknowledged by email, or letter and given to Elected Members, who will consider the views and comments expressed when finalising the Long-Term Plan.

Do you want to speak to Council in support of your submission?

Yes

No

If you've selected yes, please let us know if you would like a language interpreter

New Zealand Sign Language interpreter

Te Reo Māori interpreter

No interpreter required

Preferred hearing dates. Please select up to 3 preferences.

	9am to 12.30pm	1.30pm to 5pm	5.30pm to 7.30pm
Wednesday 15 May	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Thursday 16 May	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Friday 17 May	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

How would you prefer to give your feedback?

In person

Via an online video link

Please select here if you are flexible on days on times

We will be in touch with you to confirm the time for you to speak to Council. You will have ten minutes allocated to speak in support of your submission or to answer any questions from the Mayor and other Elected Members. If we receive a large number of submissions we may need additional days for hearings in May. If this occurs, we will be in touch with you.

Your submission

This submission form is broken into sections. First we will ask you to give feedback on some of the topics we have options for. Then towards the end we will ask for general comments on key areas of Council, as well as a general feedback section. Please answer all questions you'd like to provide feedback on.

This submission form is also available on our website at pncc.govt.nz/ltp. On our website you will be able to save your submission as you go, and come back to it before choosing to submit.

If at any time you need more space, please write on another piece of paper and clearly state your name, address, phone number and the question your feedback relates to.

Rates Review Questions

View your proposed rates at pncc.govt.nz/ratesreview

If you are submitting on behalf of a business or organisation, please ensure you have stated this in the 'Organisation' category at the top of your submission form and you have permission to submit on the business or organisations behalf. You can submit as both an organisation and individual.

Which of these describes you? (Select as many as apply)

Collecting this information helps us determine whether different groups of people share similar views.

- Resident, but not a ratepayer (e.g. you rent or may live with family/friends)
- Ratepayer who lives in their home in the Palmerston North urban area
- Ratepayer who lives in their Palmerston North home, which is classified as 'Rural' or known as a lifestyle block
- Business owner who pays rates in Palmerston North (Commercial/Industrial classification')
- Business owner who rents their business location in Palmerston North
- A developer of residential properties
- A developer of commercial properties
- Landlord of a home in Palmerston North who lives here
- Landlord of a home/s but do not live in Palmerston North
- Landlord of a business/commercial property in Palmerston North who lives here
- Landlord of a business/commercial property who does not live in our city
- Other (please state)
- Prefer not to say

Please tick which option you prefer.

- 1 Preferred Option - Hybrid (a mixture of land value and capital value)
- 2 Capital Value (CV)
- 3 Land Value (LV) – current system
- 4 Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you prefer that option

This is my preferred option as it places less equalised solutions for the rate payer.

Please tell us what you don't like about the other options

Options that apply a capital value will actively discourage people from developing their sections

The council needs to focus on its
3 core activities

WATER
ROADS
RUBBISH

WATER

if we can get these right then
the other nice to have things
which we can not afford at
the moment will follow.

The situation on Featherston Street
is a disgrace and is a poor
reflection on the council and
urban design policy which is
holding development back in the city

Community facilities questions

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation which regularly uses, or proposes to use, one of these facilities?

Yes

No

If you are submitting on behalf of an organisation, please ensure that you have written the organisation's name and contact details on the top of this submission form, and you have their permission to make a submission on their behalf.

We'd like to ask you about these projects separately in case you have different thoughts on different projects.

We're asking you to select whether you support each project as proposed, whether you support it with some suggested changes or comments, or you do not support it. We'll ask you to explain why you've picked the option you have. Suggested changes or comments could include things like the scope of the project, the timeline proposed, the location, the cost, how we're planning on funding it – or any other feedback.

Multicultural Centre Lease space for multicultural communities to use for activities, events and services.

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Council need to focus on WATER RUBISH
and Roads

Pasifika Centre Expand and refurbish existing building

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Council needs to focus on Water Rubish,
and Roads

Te Pātikitiki Library Expand and refurbish existing building

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

The council needs to focus on WATER RUBISH and Roads

Awapuni Community Library Hub Build a new Awapuni Community Library Hub, which includes expanded community space within a new library

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

The council needs to focus on WATER RUBISH and Roads

Te Motu o Poutoa Anzac Park Creation of a civic marae with public facilities and visitor and education attractions at Te Motu o Poutoa Anzac Park (co-funded with Rangitāne and external funding)

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

The council needs to focus on WATER RUBISH and Roads

Central Energy Trust Arena Replace Arena 5 at the Central Energy Trust Arena, as well as build new turfs, toilets and changing blocks.

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

The council needs to focus on WATER RUBISH and Roads

Do you have any general feedback about community facilities for us to consider?

Community facilities and nice to
have at present we can not
afford them

The Council needs to focus
on WATER, RUBBISH, and Roads

City centre transformation - landmark facilities and seismic upgrades

Which option do you prefer? (select one)



Option one (Preferred Option)

Build on our existing planning and continue work to look at the buildings as a collective project and explore co-funding opportunities.



Option two

Only do the required seismic upgrades of these facilities in their current location



Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you prefer that option

We need to ensure public safety
we can not afford it we need to
look at co funding opportunities

Please tell us what you don't like about the other option

WASTE of council resource

Do you have any other comments?

Development Contributions

We're also proposing some changes to our Development Contributions Policy.

If you're subdividing land or building a new home or business, it's likely you'll need to pay development contributions. These are paid on any development that generates extra demand on infrastructure in our city. Our policy is currently being reviewed and we'd like to hear your thoughts on these proposed changes.

We're proposing to increase the contributions for residential development and decrease the non-residential fee to more equitably distribute the cost of growth.

Do you agree with this change?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

We're proposing to stop collecting development contributions for growth costs associated with the Nature Calls wastewater project, due to Council's proposal to seek external funding for the project.

Do you agree with this change?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

We're proposing to add the cost of interest from borrowing that funds infrastructure growth into the calculation of development contribution fees. Most other councils around New Zealand already do this.

Do you agree with this change?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

Do you agree that we shouldn't charge a fee for non-residential development that has no connection to the water or wastewater network?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

Please tell us why you've selected these answers, and any other feedback you have about the proposed changes to our Development Contributions Policy.

Development Contributions are
out of line with services or
Lack of Services delivered,
Council needs to focus
on WATER / Rubish / Roads

General comment areas

Information about these topics is available in our consultation document

Please share any feedback you have about our **vision, goals and plans**

Council needs to focus on
Water, rubbish and roads.

Please share any feedback regarding proposed **transport projects**

Featherston st is a disaster constraints
around urban design policy
are holding our city back

Please share any feedback about our proposed
plans for **Water and how we will fund Nature Calls**

N/A

Please share any feedback regarding our proposed plans for **housing**

N/A

Please share any feedback regarding our proposed plans for **growing our city**.

You can also provide feedback on our Future Development Strategy which we're consulting on at the same time. You can read about that at pncc.govt.nz/FDS

Urban Design Policy is holding up
commercial development in this city
and is overly restrictive

Please share any feedback about **rubbish and recycling services**.

We're also consulting on our Waste Management and Minimisation plan, please make a submission at pncc.govt.nz/wasteplan

Please share any feedback regarding **proposed rates over the next ten years**

Please share any additional feedback you'd like us to consider

1207

From:
To: [Submission](#)
Subject: Palmy BID submissions for the Long-Term Plan 2024
Date: Thursday, 9 May 2024 3:26:26 PM
Attachments:

Kia ora, Palmerston North City Council Long Term Plan 2024
Submissions Team,

Please find attached from Palmy BID submissions for acknowledgement
the Long-Term Plan 2024 as follows:

- Proposed Rates Review Submission
- CCTV Control Hub Supporting Submission & City Centre Bus Terminal Submission
- Manawatu Multicultural Centre lease of City Centre Facility supporting Submission
- Proposed Awapuni Library Hub opposing Submission

Palmy BID wishes to take up the opportunity to speak to all of our submissions and has a preference for speaking to these submissions in person at PNCC Chambers on Friday 17th May either between 9:00am - 12:30pm or between 1:30pm - 5:00pm, however will be guided by your availability to facilitate accommodate this opportunity.

I look forward to your response.

Ngā mihi nui

Matthew Jeanes
General Manager
Palmy BID

M:
E:
W: [Palmy BID | Growing Palmerston North](#)
F: [Palmy Bid - Home](#) | [Facebook](#)





Palmerston North City Council

Submission to Palmerston North City Council (PNCC) Long Term Plan 2024

From

Palmy BID Incorporated (Palmy BID)

Palmy BID Incorporated
Level1,
74 Grey Street
Palmerston North
4410

9th May 2024

Contacts: Matthew Jeanes, GM, Palmy BID Incorporated
Rob Campbell, Chairperson, Palmy BID Incorporated

Mobile:

E:mail:

Palmy BID Incorporated Committee Members Vern Wilson, Melissa Bourke,
Steve Williams, Nuwyne Te Awe Awe Mohi, Bernice Adlam, Mark Inman

Proposed Rates Review - Long Term Plan 2024

1. Palmy BID wishes to thank PNCC for the opportunity to present a submission on this matter as we are committed to ensuring that the Palmerston North City Centre is an attractive destination to invest, develop, trade in and visit to ensure economic growth, prosperity, vibrancy and safety.
2. Palmy BID Incorporated is a 1200 Member Incorporated Society which represents the interests and livelihoods of businesses within the CBD.
3. This submission is presented to Council by the Palmy BID Incorporated Committee after seeking feedback from our members.



Land Value (LV) - Current rating System

4. We are support in maintaining this current rating system as believe this rating system:
 - I. Creates incentives to invest and develop and use the land in the City Centre
 - II. Will create increased trade, jobs and incomes
 - III. Positively affect safety, security and overall well being of the community
 - IV. Drive short, medium and long term investment, which will attract more visitors into the City Centre increasing vibrancy that will stimulate economic growth and prosperity

Capital Value (CV) rating system

5. We are opposed to the proposed Capital Value (CV) rating system as believe this rating system:
 - I. Creates a disincentive for development thus punishing higher capital investments across the whole city including the City Centre and an example of this would be negative impacts on the Safari Group with the development of the Wyndam Trip 4.5 Star hotel
 - II. Will be a barrier to investment and development, which will result in investors going to other regions that are more economically attractive

Hybrid (H) - 30%CV, 70%LV rating system

6. We are opposed to the proposed Hybrid (H) rating system as believe this rating system:
 - I. Creates a degree of the negative impacts of the Capital Value (CV) rating system by creating a barrier to investment, development, economic growth, prosperity and development of vibrancy, thus making the City Centre a less attractive destination

Palmy BID would like to speak to this submission.

Matthew Jeanes

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Matthew Jeanes".

General Manager
Palmy BID



Palmerston North City Council

Submission to Palmerston North City Council (PNCC) Long Term Plan 2024

From

Palmy BID Incorporated (Palmy BID)

Palmy BID Incorporated
Level1,
74 Grey Street
Palmerston North
4410

9th May 2024

Contacts: Matthew Jeanes, GM, Palmy BID Incorporated
Rob Campbell, Chairperson, Palmy BID Incorporated

Mobile:

E:mail:

Palmy BID Incorporated Committee Members Vern Wilson, Melissa Bourke,
Steve Williams, Nuwyne Te Awe Awe Mohi, Bernice Adlam, Mark Inman, Amanda Linsley

Supporting Submission of CCTV Control Hub 5 Year Program - Long Term Plan 2024

Submission of City Centre Bus Terminal Location - Long Term Plan 2024

1. Palmy BID wishes to thank PNCC for the opportunity to present a submission on this matter as we are committed to ensuring that the Palmerston North City Centre is an attractive destination to invest, develop, trade in and visit to ensure economic growth, prosperity, vibrancy and safety.
2. Palmy BID Incorporated is a 1200 Member Incorporated Society which represents the interests and livelihoods of businesses within the CBD.
3. This submission is presented to Council by the Palmy BID Incorporated Committee after seeking feedback from our members.



4. We are supporting the original submission of the PNCC Risk & Resilience proposal for a CCTV Control Hub 5 Year Program in the Long Term Plan to upgrade and expand the CCTV infrastructure in the city by prioritising areas that require additional coverage by strategically installing multi lense cameras with number plate recognition and AI Capability in high-risk zones, crime hot spots and locations critical to key assets from 27 cameras to 134 cameras that are cloud based.

This proposal will see the implementation of an established 24/7 dedicated Monitoring Centre in a centralised hub with trained staff that will oversee the network that will oversee all activities that take place and will be equipped with multi screens, communication equipment to:

- I. Ensure swift responses to security incidents and potential threats to public safety
- II. Maintain Collaboration with local Police to ensure incidents are attended in real time , rather than after the event
- III. Positively affect safety, security and overall well being of the community
- IV. Protect and de risk situations for lone workers with provision of body worn cameras
- V. Asset tracking, monitor equipment fitted with tracking devices
- VI. Monitor key Council assets, libraries, Convention centre, Regent, Venues and key infrastructure etc
- VII. Monitor and respond to duress and security alarms activations

Objectives, benefits and outcomes

5. We believe and support the collective objectives, benefits and outcomes in the City Centre to Council, Police, Investors, traders, residents and visitors are:
 - I. Enhancement of public and employee safety, which will reduce fear of crime and increase a greater feeling of safety
 - II. Aid in the detection of potential crime and crime patterns
 - III. Prevention of crime through identifying potential criminal activity and anti-social behaviour



- IV. Assist both Police, Council and Safety and Security Contractors to respond more quickly to incidents and allow for quicker de escalation
- V. Increase vibrancy in the City and City Centre making it a safer and more attractive destination to invest in, develop, work/trade in and visit
- VI. Make the City Centre a more attractive destination for the development of inner city living accommodation thus attracting new residents to live in the City Centre

Risk factors to not approve the CCTV Control Hub

6. We believe there are a number of risk factors to not implementing the CCTV Control Hub 5 year program:
 - I. Palmerston North City and City Centre will be viewed as a safety risk and unattractive destination for investors, businesses, employees and visitors alike
 - II. Will negatively impact long term safety, investment, trade, economic growth, prosperity and vibrancy

City Centre Bus Terminal Location

7. We are submitting on the City Centre Bus Terminal Location and wish to propose that the City Centre Bus Terminal should be relocated to the former Police Station on Church Street for the following reasons:
 - I. The former Police Station on Church Street can be developed into a centralised bus terminal that caters for both Horizons buses and the national coach lines network under one purpose built facility that is close to the City Centre and could comprise of toilets, storage, showers and a cafe that is well lit, covered by CCTV and is a safe and vibrant destination

Palmy BID would like to speak to this submission

Matthew Jeanes

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "M. Jeanes".

General Manager
Palmy BID



Palmerston North City Council

Submission to Palmerston North City Council (PNCC) Long Term Plan 2024

From

Palmy BID Incorporated (Palmy BID)

Palmy BID Incorporated
Level1,
74 Grey Street
Palmerston North
4410

9th May 2024

Contacts: Matthew Jeanes, GM, Palmy BID Incorporated
Rob Campbell, Chairperson, Palmy BID Incorporated

Mobile:

E:mail:

Palmy BID Incorporated Committee Members Vern Wilson, Melissa Bourke, Steve Williams, Nuwyne Te Awe Awe Mohi, Bernice Adlam, Mark Inman, Amanda Linsley

Supporting Submission of Multicultural Centre - Long Term Plan 2024

1. Palmy BID wishes to thank PNCC for the opportunity to present a submission on this matter as we are committed to ensuring that the Palmerston North City Centre is an attractive destination to invest, develop, trade in and visit to ensure economic growth, prosperity, vibrancy and safety.
2. Palmy BID Incorporated is a 1200 Member Incorporated Society which represents the interests and livelihoods of businesses within the City Centre.
3. This submission is presented to Council by the Palmy BID Incorporated Committee after seeking feedback from our members.



4. We are supporting the original submission of the proposal to lease a City Centre Facility to provide a dedicated space for our multicultural communities that encompasses more than 130 ethnicities in our city along with refugee settlement at an overall cost of \$750,000. There is a growing demand for a larger dedicated space for these communities to gather for events and activities along with the space requirements for the delivery of services to meet these wider community needs.

Objectives, benefits and outcomes

5. The current Manawatū Multicultural Centre in Hancock house is no longer fit for purpose for these type of multicultural activities and services that are delivered as they have outgrown their current location and believe the benefits to identifying and developing a new lease space in the City Centre are:
 - I. Keeping our 130 communities better connected and serviced in one location
 - II. Positively affect safety, security and overall well being of the community
 - III. Attract a wider visiting audience into the City Centre that are attending multicultural events
 - IV. Develop vibrancy and stimulate economic growth and prosperity

Risk factors, negative impacts and outcomes to not identifying, funding and developing a City Centre multicultural hub

6. We believe the collective risk factors and negative impacts and outcomes to our multicultural communities, the Manawatū Multicultural Council and the City Centre are:
 - I. Fragmentation of the collective 130 multicultural communities, which will also create potential isolation and disconnectivity
 - II. Dilution of safety, security and overall well being of the community
 - III. Reduced attendance of multicultural events in the City Centre
 - IV. Dilution of vibrancy economic growth and prosperity

Palmy BID would like to speak to this submission

Matthew Jeanes

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Matthew Jeanes", written in a cursive style.

General Manager
Palmy BID



Palmerston North City Council

Submission to Palmerston North City Council (PNCC) Long Term Plan 2024

From

Palmy BID Incorporated (Palmy BID)

Palmy BID Incorporated
Level1,
74 Grey Street
Palmerston North
4410

9th May 2024

Contacts: Matthew Jeanes, GM, Palmy BID Incorporated
Rob Campbell, Chairperson, Palmy BID Incorporated

Mobile:

E:mail:

Palmy BID Incorporated Committee Members Vern Wilson, Melissa Bourke,
Steve Williams, Nuwyne Te Awe Awe Mohi, Bernice Adlam, Mark Inman, Amanda Linsley

Proposed Awapuni Community Library Hub - Long Term Plan 2024

1. Palmy BID wishes to thank PNCC for the opportunity to present a submission on this matter as we are committed to ensuring that the Palmerston North City Centre is an attractive destination to invest, develop, trade in and visit to ensure economic growth, prosperity, vibrancy and safety.
2. Palmy BID Incorporated is a 1200 Member Incorporated Society which represents the interests and livelihoods of businesses within the City Centre.
3. This submission is presented to Council by the Palmy BID Incorporated Committee after seeking feedback from our members.



Proposed Awapuni Community Library Hub - Long Term Plan 2024

4. We are opposed to a new Awapuni Community Library Hub at a cost of \$27.1M because we believe:
 - I. This is an unnecessary cost to ratepayers when a central community library that is a thriving hub already exists in the City Centre that requires seismic strengthening and development at a cost of \$65M with 90% being co-funded
 - II. Te Manawa requires seismic strengthening and developing at a cost of \$67M with 90% being co-funded
 - III. The Regent Theatre requires seismic strengthening and developing at a cost of \$13M
 - IV. That the current Awapuni Library could be redeveloped at a significantly reduced investment cost to be determined
 - V. Positively affect safety, security and overall well being of the community
 - VI. Drive short, medium and long term investment, which will attract more visitors into the City Centre increasing vibrancy that will stimulate economic growth and prosperity

5. We would support the \$27.1M of funding being invested and split across the City Centre Library, Te Manawa and the Regent Theatre for the following reasons:
 - I. All properties require seismic strengthening and development
 - II. All properties already contribute to attracting visitors into the City Centre thus stimulating vibrancy, economic growth, prosperity along with creating a sense of community and belonging
 - III. Refocusing the \$27.1m investment across the City Centre Library, Te Manawa and the Regent Theatre will positively affect the development of safety, security and overall well being of the community
 - IV. May attract future private or public private enterprise investment and development into the City Centre, thus additionally stimulating vibrancy, economic growth, prosperity, development safety, security and overall well being of the community making the city a more attractive place to trade/work, visit and live

Palmy BID would like to speak to this submission

Matthew Jeanes

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Matthew Jeanes".

General Manager
Palmy BID



Council's Long-Term Plan Submission Form

Have your say by
4pm, 9 May

You can give your feedback on our Long-Term Plan in a variety of ways. If you'd like to speak to our Elected Members about your submission we do need you to fill out the contact details and hearings section of this page. With this submission form you can answer as many questions as you want. You don't need to answer them all if you don't want to! There are no age restrictions to making a submission – we are happy to see submissions from all ages.

The Local Government Act allows you to give feedback in any format. This can include:

- filling out this form or doing it on our website at pncc.govt.nz/LTP
- sharing feedback on our social media channels
- emailing us at submission@pncc.govt.nz
- or drop in to our customer service centre or libraries
- You can give feedback in any format that suits you. That could be a letter, petitions, picture, drawing, song or video(up to 3 minutes) etc.
- Come chat to Elected Members at **Planning Palmy Expo**
20 April, 10am-1pm at the Palmy Conference and Function Centre.

All submissions may be made publicly available on our website, customer service centre and some of our libraries. This means you may want to be more careful about what private information you share in your submissions about your circumstances. Your contact details (but not your name) are confidential and will not be published. Elected Members receive all submissions without contact details so they can consider the views and comments expressed.

We collect your contact information so we can keep you up to date.

For more information, see our privacy statement on our website.

Your details

First name: Crystelle Last name: 7(2)(a) Privacy

Organisation you represent:
(if applicable. Please only answer this question if you're speaking on behalf of an organisation)

Postal address: (only provide if no email address) Email: 7(2)(a) Privacy

Phone: 7(2)(a) Privacy

Please tick if you are under 18 years old as we will apply further p

Return to Ms Osborne in M20 by am, 9/5

Everyone who makes a submission can speak to our Elected Members about it.

All submissions will be acknowledged by email, or letter and given to Elected Members, who will consider the views and comments expressed when finalising the Long-Term Plan.

Do you want to speak to Council in support of your submission?

Yes

No

If you've selected yes, please let us know if you would like a language interpreter

New Zealand Sign Language interpreter

Te Reo Māori interpreter

No interpreter required

Preferred hearing dates. Please select up to 3 preferences.

	9am to 12.30pm	1.30pm to 5pm	5.30pm to 7.30pm
Wednesday 15 May	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Thursday 16 May	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Friday 17 May	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please select here if you are flexible on days or times <input type="checkbox"/>			

How would you prefer to give your feedback?

In person

Via an online video link

We will be in touch with you to confirm the time for you to speak to Council. You will have ten minutes allocated to speak in support of your submission or to answer any questions from the Mayor and other Elected Members. If we receive a large number of submissions we may need additional days for hearings in May. If this occurs, we will be in touch with you.

2/19, Māori, yd 05M ni 9moo120 2M of n17128

Your submission

This submission form is broken into sections. First we will ask you to give feedback on some of the topics we have options for. Then towards the end we will ask for general comments on key areas of Council, as well as a general feedback section. Please answer all questions you'd like to provide feedback on.

This submission form is also available on our website at pncc.govt.nz/ltp. On our website you will be able to save your submission as you go, and come back to it before choosing to submit.

If at any time you need more space, please write on another piece of paper and clearly state your name, address, phone number and the question your feedback relates to.

Rates Review Questions

View your proposed rates at pncc.govt.nz/ratesreview

If you are submitting on behalf of a business or organisation, please ensure you have stated this in the 'Organisation' category at the top of your submission form and you have permission to submit on the business or organisations behalf. You can submit as both an organisation and individual.

Which of these describes you? (Select as many as apply)

Collecting this information helps us determine whether different groups of people share similar views.

- Resident, but not a ratepayer (e.g. you rent or may live with family/friends)
- Ratepayer who lives in their home in the Palmerston North urban area
- Ratepayer who lives in their Palmerston North home, which is classified as 'Rural' or known as a lifestyle block
- Business owner who pays rates in Palmerston North (Commercial/Industrial classification')
- Business owner who rents their business location in Palmerston North
- A developer of residential properties
- A developer of commercial properties
- Landlord of a home in Palmerston North who lives here
- Landlord of a home/s but do not live in Palmerston North
- Landlord of a business/commercial property in Palmerston North who lives here
- Landlord of a business/commercial property who does not live in our city
- Other (please state)
- Prefer not to say

Please tick which option you prefer.

- 1 Preferred Option - Hybrid (a mixture of land value and capital value)
- 2 Capital Value (CV)
- 3 Land Value (LV) – current system
- 4 Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you prefer that option

I live in a small, modest house in an expensive street.
I pay the same rates currently as someone with a large home on the same size section.
This is unfair as I can't afford the large house yet pay the same rates and require fewer services.

Please tell us what you don't like about the other options

LV - current, unfair, see above.
Hybrid - still weighted to land value.

Do you have any other comments you'd like to make regarding the rates review?

NO.

Community facilities questions

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation which regularly uses, or proposes to use, one of these facilities?

Yes

No

If you are submitting on behalf of an organisation, please ensure that you have written the organisation's name and contact details on the top of this submission form, and you have their permission to make a submission on their behalf.

We'd like to ask you about these projects separately in case you have different thoughts on different projects.

We're asking you to select whether you support each project as proposed, whether you support it with some suggested changes or comments, or you do not support it. We'll ask you to explain why you've picked the option you have. Suggested changes or comments could include things like the scope of the project, the timeline proposed, the location, the cost, how we're planning on funding it – or any other feedback.

Multicultural Centre Lease space for multicultural communities to use for activities, events and services.

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Pasifika Centre Expand and refurbish existing building

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Te Pātikitiki Library Expand and refurbish existing building

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Awapuni Community Library Hub Build a new Awapuni Community Library Hub, which includes expanded community space within a new library

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Te Motu o Poutoa Anzac Park Creation of a civic marae with public facilities and visitor and education attractions at Te Motu o Poutoa Anzac Park (co-funded with Rangitāne and external funding)

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Central Energy Trust Arena Replace Arena 5 at the Central Energy Trust Arena, as well as build new turfs, toilets and changing blocks.

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Do you have any general feedback about community facilities for us to consider?

A large rectangular area of lined paper, intended for handwritten feedback. The lines are light blue and spaced evenly. A single, dark blue diagonal line is drawn across the entire area, from the top-left corner to the bottom-right corner, effectively crossing out the space for writing.

City centre transformation - landmark facilities and seismic upgrades

Which option do you prefer? (select one)

Option one (Preferred Option)

Build on our existing planning and continue work to look at the buildings as a collective project and explore co-funding opportunities.

Option two

Only do the required seismic upgrades of these facilities in their current location

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you prefer that option

Please tell us what you don't like about the other option

Do you have any other comments?

Development Contributions

We're also proposing some changes to our Development Contributions Policy.

If you're subdividing land or building a new home or business, it's likely you'll need to pay development contributions. These are paid on any development that generates extra demand on infrastructure in our city. Our policy is currently being reviewed and we'd like to hear your thoughts on these proposed changes.

We're proposing to increase the contributions for residential development and decrease the non-residential fee to more equitably distribute the cost of growth.

Do you agree with this change?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

We're proposing to stop collecting development contributions for growth costs associated with the Nature Calls wastewater project, due to Council's proposal to seek external funding for the project.

Do you agree with this change?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

We're proposing to add the cost of interest from borrowing that funds infrastructure growth into the calculation of development contribution fees. Most other councils around New Zealand already do this.

Do you agree with this change?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

Do you agree that we shouldn't charge a fee for non-residential development that has no connection to the water or wastewater network?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

Please tell us why you've selected these answers, and any other feedback you have about the proposed changes to our Development Contributions Policy.

A large rectangular area with horizontal blue lines, intended for handwritten feedback. A single, dark, curved diagonal line is drawn across the page, starting from the lower-left quadrant and extending towards the upper-right quadrant.

General comment areas

Information about these topics is available in our consultation document

Please share any feedback you have about our **vision, goals and plans**

Please share any feedback regarding proposed **transport projects**

Please stop restricting parking due to cycle lanes.

Please share any feedback about our proposed plans for **Water and how we will fund Nature Calls**

Please share any feedback regarding our proposed plans for **housing**

Please share any feedback regarding our proposed plans for **growing our city**.

You can also provide feedback on our Future Development Strategy which we're consulting on at the same time. You can read about that at pncc.govt.nz/FDS

Please share any feedback about **rubbish and recycling services**.

We're also consulting on our Waste Management and Minimisation plan, please make a submission at pncc.govt.nz/wasteplan

Please recycle soft plastics
and outlaw plastic meat trays.

Please share any feedback regarding **proposed rates over the next ten years**

Please share any additional feedback you'd like us to consider

A large rectangular area with a light blue border and horizontal ruling lines, resembling a page of lined paper. A single, smooth, curved line is drawn across the page, starting from the lower-left quadrant and ending in the upper-right quadrant. The line is dark and stands out against the white background of the ruled area.



Council's Long-Term Plan Submission Form

Have your say by
4pm, 9 May

You can give your feedback on our Long-Term Plan in a variety of ways. If you'd like to speak to our Elected Members about your submission we do need you to fill out the contact details and hearings section of this page. With this submission form you can answer as many questions as you want. You don't need to answer them all if you don't want to! There are no age restrictions to making a submission – we are happy to see submissions from all ages.

The Local Government Act allows you to give feedback in any format. This can include:

- filling out this form or doing it on our website at pncc.govt.nz/LTP
- sharing feedback on our social media channels
- emailing us at submission@pncc.govt.nz
- or drop in to our customer service centre or libraries
- You can give feedback in any format that suits you. That could be a letter, petitions, picture, drawing, song or video (up to 3 minutes) etc.
- Come chat to Elected Members at **Planning Palmy Expo** 20 April, 10am-1pm at the Palmy Conference and Function Centre.

All submissions may be made publicly available on our website, customer service centre and some of our libraries. This means you may want to be more careful about what private information you share in your submissions about your circumstances. Your contact details (but not your name) are confidential and will not be published. Elected Members receive all submissions without contact details so they can consider the views and comments expressed.

We collect your contact information so we can keep you up to date.

For more information, see our privacy statement on our website.

Your details

First name: *Jenny P.*

Last name: *Davies*

Organisation you represent:

(If applicable. Please only answer this question if you're speaking on behalf of an organisation)

Postal address: (only provide if no email address)

Email

Phone

Please tick if you are under 18 years old as we will apply further

7(2)(a) Privacy

Everyone who makes a submission can speak to our Elected Members about it.

All submissions will be acknowledged by email, or letter and given to Elected Members, who will consider the views and comments expressed when finalising the Long-Term Plan.

Do you want to speak to Council in support of your submission?

Yes

No

If you've selected yes, please let us know if you would like a language interpreter

New Zealand Sign Language interpreter

Te Reo Māori interpreter

No interpreter required

Preferred hearing dates. Please select up to 3 preferences.

	9am to 12.30pm	1.30pm to 5pm	5.30pm to 7.30pm
Wednesday 15 May	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Thursday 16 May	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Friday 17 May	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please select here if you are flexible on days on times

How would you prefer to give your feedback?

In person

Via an online video link

We will be in touch with you to confirm the time for you to speak to Council. You will have ten minutes allocated to speak in support of your submission or to answer any questions from the Mayor and other Elected Members. If we receive a large number of submissions we may need additional days for hearings in May. If this occurs, we will be in touch with you.

Your submission

This submission form is broken into sections. First we will ask you to give feedback on some of the topics we have options for. Then towards the end we will ask for general comments on key areas of Council, as well as a general feedback section. Please answer all questions you'd like to provide feedback on.

This submission form is also available on our website at pncc.govt.nz/ltf. On our website you will be able to save your submission as you go, and come back to it before choosing to submit.

If at any time you need more space, please write on another piece of paper and clearly state your name, address, phone number and the question your feedback relates to.

Rates Review Questions

View your proposed rates at pncc.govt.nz/ratesreview

If you are submitting on behalf of a business or organisation, please ensure you have stated this in the 'Organisation' category at the top of your submission form and you have permission to submit on the business or organisations behalf. You can submit as both an organisation and individual.

Which of these describes you? (Select as many as apply)

Collecting this information helps us determine whether different groups of people share similar views.

- Resident, but not a ratepayer (e.g. you rent or may live with family/friends)
- Ratepayer who lives in their home in the Palmerston North urban area
- Ratepayer who lives in their Palmerston North home, which is classified as 'Rural' or known as a lifestyle block
- Business owner who pays rates in Palmerston North (Commercial/Industrial classification')
- Business owner who rents their business location in Palmerston North
- A developer of residential properties
- A developer of commercial properties
- Landlord of a home in Palmerston North who lives here
- Landlord of a home/s but do not live in Palmerston North
- Landlord of a business/commercial property in Palmerston North who lives here
- Landlord of a business/commercial property who does not live in our city
- Other (please state)
- Prefer not to say

Please tick which option you prefer.

- 1 Preferred Option - Hybrid (a mixture of land value and capital value)
- 2 Capital Value (CV)
- 3 Land Value (LV) – current system
- 4 Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you prefer that option

Phase in Capital Value Rates for all property owners Option Two

I prefer this option as it becomes inclusive of commercial land and is easier to understand
Other councils have used this for sometime so, it must have a proven liked preference

It will benefit us as ratepayers

It will encourage other ratepayers to add improvements to their properties whenever financially possible

Our overall cityscape of a pleasant well maintained city will be enhanced with streets of good looking houses, lawns and fences

Please tell us what you don't like about the other options

The other options

HYBRID Why have a "mishmash" of two sorts of rating system to add more confusion

Becomes more difficult to understand the logics

So, therefore the poorly kept "houses" will remain on high value land which defeats the purpose of slowly improving the housing stock allowing those renting to live in a house which is a "healthy home" Landlords are happy to collect rent and not bring the property up to standard

I do not like the sudden decision which seems to be already decided 1 July 2024

It maybe the PNCC preferred option of the PNCC officers since the date is already decided

It should be the result of the submissions and those who wish to speak to their submission and collective conclusion of the "Councillors"

LANDVALUE Time for a change to Capital Value

Do you have any other comments you'd like to make regarding the rates review?

Lined area for writing comments.

Community facilities questions

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation which regularly uses, or proposes to use, one of these facilities?

Yes

No

If you are submitting on behalf of an organisation, please ensure that you have written the organisation's name and contact details on the top of this submission form, and you have their permission to make a submission on their behalf.

We'd like to ask you about these projects separately in case you have different thoughts on different projects.

We're asking you to select whether you support each project as proposed, whether you support it with some suggested changes or comments, or you do not support it. We'll ask you to explain why you've picked the option you have. Suggested changes or comments could include things like the scope of the project, the timeline proposed, the location, the cost, how we're planning on funding it – or any other feedback.

Multicultural Centre Lease space for multicultural communities to use for activities, events and services.

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Multicultural Centre

Absolutely DO NOT Support ✓

Our city although we have a wonderful collection of cultures within our city

We cannot engage MORE DEBT to become bankrupt as a city to have any new facility for any of the facilities asked off

The needs of these wonderful cultures can "WAIT" to get our debt down say 5 years and then see !!

Pasifika Centre Expand and refurbish existing building

Support as proposed

Support with changes/comments

Do not support

Prefer not to say

Please tell us why you've selected that option, and any feedback you'd like to provide about the project

Pasifika Centre

Absolutely DO NOT Support

The needs of these cultures of our Pasifika people who contribute much to our city cannot be met
The prospect that our city will not avoid bankruptcy with other enormous responsibilities to all our citizens of our "Nature Calls and water infrastructure These two are of utter most importance

Te Pātikitiki Library Expand and refurbish existing building

Support with changes/comments

Te Patikitiki Library

Absolutely DO NOT Support ✓

Our ratepayers cannot support any new or expanded plan of another library at this present time until we have a "Wastewater Nature Calls and water infrastructure " are at least begun and well underway There is a risk of bankruptcy of our entire city with more excessive investment on plans for communities Use the facilities we have the Central Library and the Library bus visits suburbs

Awapuni Community Library Hub Build a new Awapuni Community Library Hub, which includes community space within a new library

Awapuni Library Hub

Absolutely DO NOT support ✓

It is disturbing that the old church has been purchased prior to the annual plan
It makes the consultation process absolutely ridiculous as stated on page 39 the project will begin in Year 2 Why ????? Influential privileges
Who makes the decisions ???
The idea of a Civil Defence centre seems a duplicate when nationally as a result of "Gabrielle" it was concluded that a centralised centre serves a better outcome in a national or local disaster

Te Motu o Poutoa Anzac Park Creation of a civic marae with public facilities and visitor and education attractions at Te Motu o Poutoa Anzac Park (co-funded with Rangitāne and external funding)

Anzac Park

Absolutely DO NOT support ✓

The plans and ideas to support Rangitane are vital to the cultural wellbeing of our city but, as with my other objections we cannot begin to plan these facilities for our city
After all Rangitane surely support "Nature calls and water infrastructure" must be an absolute spending priority for the health of our river our people and our environment
These costs and investments are now immense and we need to wait a few years

Central Energy Trust Arena Replace Arena 5 at the Central Energy Trust Arena, as well as build new turfs, toilets and changing blocks.

Central Energy Trust

Absolutely DO NOT support ✓

Begin with some seismic strengthening within the planning for buildings that will be retained
As discovered in reading much of the huge area of expenditure has already been decided by the PNCC staff so, as stated what is the use of submissions and even a discussion with those who speak to their submissions

The cost the city cannot sustain The city cannot borrow more to the add to its debt and the citizens cannot pay more rates Our student population, our young family groups and those on fixed incomes cannot afford the excessive expectations

Every activity at the Central Energy Trust whatever it be must PAY User pays

Do you have any general feedback about community facilities for us to consider?

Lined writing area for providing feedback.

City centre transformation - landmark facilities and seismic upgrades

Which option do you prefer? (select one)

Option one (Preferred Option)

Build on our existing planning and continue work to look at the buildings as a collective project and explore co-funding opportunities.

Prefer not to say

Option two

Only do the required seismic upgrades of these facilities in their current location

and explore co funding

Please tell us why you prefer that option

Seismic Upgrades

Yes, for the buildings of city wide importance proceed slowly despite Govt demands

The Regent where there could be a loss of life in a big quake

The Central Library Likewise work onward slowly for the same reason

The Te Manawa Museum which also houses some local treasures

Council Buildings

Water Treatment and wastewater plant are vital infrastructure but some seem to have been done

There is time to look at other buildings which are on the PNCC books and for which large insurances are paid (to overseas owned Insurance companies)

Consider not insuring other PNCC buildings that are not of the calibre of "The Regent" eg let the insurance lapse therefore save money and in the event of a large quake will these other buildings ever need to be rebuilt or wish to be rebuilt

This is happening with churches in other cities

As stated in the LTP "Managing our ageing assets" this is surely the best way to achieve that !!!!

Cut insurance on those assets that if they are severely damaged in a disaster then we, as PNCC cannot hope to restore that asset and it needs to be demolished

Development Contributions

We're also proposing some changes to our Development Contributions Policy.

If you're subdividing land or building a new home or business, it's likely you'll need to pay development contributions. These are paid on any development that generates extra demand on infrastructure in our city. Our policy is currently being reviewed and we'd like to hear your thoughts on these proposed changes.

We're proposing to increase the contributions for residential development and decrease the non-residential fee to more equitably distribute the cost of growth.

Do you agree with this change?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

We're proposing to stop collecting development contributions for growth costs associated with the Nature Calls wastewater project, due to Council's proposal to seek external funding for the project.

Do you agree with this change?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

We're proposing to add the cost of interest from borrowing that funds infrastructure growth into the calculation of development contribution fees. Most other councils around New Zealand already do this.

Do you agree with this change?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

Do you agree that we shouldn't charge a fee for non-residential development that has no connection to the water or wastewater network?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion

Where could this be no water no toilets ???

Please tell us why you've selected these answers, and any other feedback you have about the proposed changes to our Development Contributions Policy.

The DEBT must be reduced please otherwise the citizens of this city of PN cannot continue to live here In fact many have stated their FEAR and fear to sell and move away from family and friends As elected representatives of the PNCC you have a primary duty of care to protect the interests of the wider community, the environment (nature does not have a voice) and to lower rates and debt

General comment areas

Information about these topics is available in our consultation document

Please share any feedback you have about our **vision, goals and plans**

The DEBT must be reduced please otherwise the citizens of this city of PN cannot continue to live here In fact many have stated their FEAR and fear to sell and move away from family and friends As elected representatives of the PNCC you have a primary duty of care to protect the interests of the wider community, the environment (nature does not have a voice) and to lower rates and debt

Please share any feedback regarding proposed **transport projects**

Electric buses well done a good idea until one breaks down at the morning rush and creates chaos at the vital link and it had to be towed away by a big diesel tow truck !!!!

The new bus system although Horizons is a shambles !!! Huge empty buses what a waste The new bus terminal must wait after all the present one has functioned sort of for years So, where could a new one be built ????

Or is it a deal to shift the present facility because soon there will be a new hotel built opposite Featherston Street has become a disaster so, who is responsible to plan such disasters !!!! The two schools seem to have no priority either cycling or walking until some student is killed !!

Please share any feedback about our proposed plans for **Water and how we will fund Nature Calls**

Water and Wastewater are a major priority of the PNCC

Nature has no voice so we have deliberated for too long on the "Nature calls"

The Govt will be of no use except set the time lines so, take the challenge SLOWLY and have these two projects as the ONLY plan to begin

However; NO EXTRA \$1000 per year for 30years

With NO new projects or frills for PNCC for at least 5 years this demand should cease to exist

Every new build in PNCC must have a water collection tank in proportion to the roof size becomes part of the build requirements

For garden water in summer to save excess water use for gardens and when an earthquake happens for water and while being filled in a heavy downpour that is less water to cause flooding as seen in some parts of the city eg Awapuni some streets

Multi density housing leave this to the Govt to redevelop areas they have demolished and turned so many onto our "Homeless" and put the pressure onto central Govt to redevelop these areas of our city as soon as possible However, I doubt the Govt will begin to realise they too have a responsibility

Other areas where large empty sections have become available encourage "echo builds" to maintain our image of sustainability A new build (private) exist on Albert st and it is of serious concern of the competence of planners to allow such development Two units two storey no yard (although there is a park across an extremely busy and noisy street) The design has no sun/energy generation It is a failure of any concept of multidensity housing allowed by the planners of PNCC In all new house builds capturing the sun for internal house heating is a vital "echo" feature to be insisted on by planners and designers The cost saving is immense Solar is of course extra but worthy addition to new builds especially in a large development area as a collective arrangement for buying or selling extra energy generated can be done Some Marae are already doing this

Please share any feedback regarding our proposed plans for **growing our city**.
You can also provide feedback on our Future Development Strategy which we're consulting on at the same time. You can read about that at pncc.govt.nz/FDS

Growing our city Not onto valuable arable farmland for new housing or commercial development Should the new railway hub go ahead then keep commercial development to that area of the city Good roading network exists and with a rail head and siding warehouses for the meantime A good rail head is vital for the North Is should Wellington suffer from a disaster and there is the airport too which is functional and close to the city

Please share any feedback about **rubbish and recycling services**.
We're also consulting on our Waste Management and Minimisation plan, please make a submission at pncc.govt.nz/wasteplan

Recycling

Nelson city has just announced keeping building waste out of landfill with a considerable saving for the environment and city ratepayers We have the opportunity to do exactly the same Old houses demolished should not be "bulldozed" Some of the timber is "heart native timber matai flooring, rimu walls and kauri doors" insane to landfill this rare and valuable wood There are facilities in this city to recycle and this although time consuming and labour costs we should not dump these scarce woods Our environment is precious nature has no voice All recycling should be managed by or regulated by the PNCC The other private bins around our city are simply dumping all into the landfill everything goes into those green bins and dumped The new recycling as set nation wide is not complicated but further restricted and "nature" may not gain but, with no place to recycle some of the plastics it just needs to be accepted meantime

Please share any feedback regarding **proposed rates over the next ten years**

The ratepayers of PNCC can afford to pay only the rates as stated there is real concern/fear amongst communities about this extra payment

Our elected representatives must make the decisions not the staff of the PNCC

The LTP books and booklets suggest propaganda to convince us all the ideas on page 9 are vitally necessary when as already stated they can wait

We all need to "live" in PN and sports and the few tourists will come to play sports and enjoy what we have as a city without any "frills"

Nature and the environment will also flourish with less pollution in our "awa"

Please share any additional feedback you'd like us to consider

Rates over the next 10 years

Get rid of the "frills" page 9 "Greenbooklet" ideas through the PPNP book

Get out our city debt down by simply maintaining services with some seismic strengthening

Cut staff at PNCC to the "basics" maintain the "living wage" for all though

Be less generous to sponsoring events encourage these for the business community but adopt a more expensive "user pays" for all

Cut the catering costs for all meetings councillors meetings again some are definitely not necessary

Parking fees on all streets like Church st and Broadway enroute to the fire station should be tagged with a parking voucher of almost \$5 a day as these commuters work in our city and pay no rates

And there are many other streets around the inner city stuffed with commuter cars from north south east and west They need to pay for parking

It works in other cities and WHY should they park free each day

Rates remission for churches and other places of interest This should be "open book"

Who gets this remission and why

Also the "development fee" made by developers or new builds when plans are approved should be an "open book" to maintain the accuracy and integrity of such fees being paid

The real living costs for our all citizen's of our city have become huge electricity, cost of food for all, loss of jobs, course deletion by our university so, fewer students these are real and fearful for many We do not want a BANKRUPT CITY" Our rates need to be modest and practical for all and think of nature that has no voice Cherish the world we have inherited for the betterment of life for all the citizens of our city

Thank you